IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

QUINCY M. NERI,

Plaintiff, ORDER

v. 12-cv-600-slc

PINCKNEY HOLDINGS, LLC,
LINDA HUGHES, JOHN HUGHES,
JOMA INDUSTRIES, INC., KDS, LLC,
BELL LABORATORIES LLC,
DUNLOP ASSOCIATES, INC.,
MALCOLM STACK FOUNDATION,
AMY RADSPINNER DESIGN, LLC,
AMY RADSPINNER, PAUL RADSPINNER,
LESLEY SAGER, WINCHILL SAGER, SAGER DESIGNS,
ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ARTS, INC.,
MELINDA MOORE, STEVE LARSON and ERIC FERGUSON,

Defendants.

This is a proposed civil action filed by plaintiff Quincy Neri. Plaintiff has asked for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and has supported her request with an affidavit of indigency.

The standard for determining whether plaintiff qualifies for indigent status is the following:

- From plaintiff's annual gross income, the court subtracts \$3700 for each dependent excluding the plaintiff.
- If the balance is less than \$16,000, the plaintiff may proceed without any prepayment of fees and costs.
- If the balance is greater than \$16,000 but less than \$32,000, the plaintiff must prepay half the fees and costs.
- If the balance is greater than \$32,000, the plaintiff must prepay all fees and costs.
- Substantial assets or debts require individual consideration.

In this case, plaintiff is unemployed and receives unemployment benefits of \$616 a

month, which makes her annual income \$7392. Plaintiff has no dependants and no substantial

assets. Because plaintiff's income is less than \$16,000, she can proceed without any prepayment

of fees or costs.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Quincy Neri's complaint is taken under

advisement. As soon as the court's calendar permits, plaintiff's complaint will be screened

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to determine whether the case must be dismissed either because

the complaint is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted or

seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. Plaintiff will be

notified promptly when such a decision has been made. In the meantime, if plaintiff needs to

communicate with the court about this case, she should be sure to write the case number shown

above on her communication.

Entered this 20th day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge

2